

ASSESSING THE EMPIRICAL BASIS OF PEAT CO₂ EMISSIONS ESTIMATES FROM OIL PALM PLANTATIONS ON TROPICAL PEATLAND

Ross Morrison. Department of Geography, Bennett Building, University of Leicester, University Road, Leicester. LE1 7RH. Telephone: +44(0)116 252 3843. Email: rdm11@alumni.le.ac.uk

Susan E. Page (University of Leicester), Chris Malins (International Council on Clean Transportation), Jack Rieley (University of Nottingham), Aljosja Hooijer (Deltares, The Netherlands) & Jyrki Jauhiainen (University of Helsinki)

SUMMARY

This presentation reports the results of a desk-based study aiming to assess the empirical basis of peat surface CO₂ emissions from oil palm plantations on tropical peatland in Southeast Asia. We reviewed the literature to determine a best estimate of CO₂ losses from drained peat under oil palm cultivation. Our review indicates that previous assessment of CO₂ emissions from oil palm plantations lack a robust empirical basis and have systematically underestimated CO₂ losses resulting from drainage and peat degradation. On the basis of the review, we present a revised estimate and uncertainty range for peat CO₂ emissions from oil palm plantations.

KEY WORDS: Palm oil, Southeast Asia, carbon, greenhouse gas, literature review

INTRODUCTION

Increase in palm oil production has been a key factor in meeting the rising international demand for vegetable oil (Carter et al., 2007). In recent years, palm oil has found a new market as a biofuel feedstock because an increasing number of governments are adopting mandates for biofuel (e.g. biodiesel) for greenhouse gas mitigation (Laborde, 2011; Marelli et al., 2011). Expansion in global palm oil production, led primarily by Indonesia and Malaysia, has been accompanied by rising concern over the impact of the palm oil business on tropical forests and peat swamp forests in particular (Fargione et al., 2008). Indonesia and Malaysia currently meet more than 85% of global palm oil production. In both of these countries, the increasing global demand for biofuels is accelerating rates of oil palm plantation encroachment into peatland areas (Fargione et al., 2008; Miettinen *et al.*, 2012).

Tropical peat swamp forests are one of the Earth's densest carbon sinks and largest repositories of terrestrial organic carbon (Page et al., 2011b). In natural peat swamp forest, peat (and carbon) have accumulated over millennial timescales owing to a positive net imbalance between high rates of tropical primary production and reduced rates of organic matter breakdown in permanently saturated soil conditions (Hooijer et al., 2010). The establishment of oil palm plantations on tropical peatland requires replacement of native vegetation and drainage to meet agronomical requirements of the oil palm crop. Whilst carbon losses from land clearance and biomass replacement are substantial, transfers of previously stable peat carbon to the atmosphere in the form of CO₂ following drainage are

increasingly recognised as a major driver of atmospheric carbon loading and anthropogenically driven climate change (Hooijer et al., 2010). In the case of palm oil derived biofuels, recent life cycle analyses have indicated that CO₂ emissions from degrading peat lead to biofuel carbon debts repayable at centennial timeframes or longer (Fargione et al., 2008; Gibbs et al., 2008; Wicke et al., 2008). Despite this, some authors have suggested that estimates of CO₂ emission from drained peat may have been underestimated (e.g. Edwards et al., 2010).

We present new findings, based on a review of the literature, of peat surface CO₂ emissions from oil palm plantations on tropical peatland (Page et al., 2011a). The review was conducted on behalf of the International Council on Clean Transportation (ICCT) and aimed to provide guidance to economic modellers on the most appropriate value and uncertainty range for representing drainage-related CO₂ emissions for use in assessments of indirect land use change (iLUC) and the carbon intensity of biofuel consumption (e.g. Al-Riffai et al., 2010; Edwards et al., 2010; Laborde, 2011). The objectives were to: (i) review and assess the current state of knowledge of rates of CO₂ (and other greenhouse gas) emissions from peatland used for oil palm plantations in Southeast Asia; and (ii) to derive a best estimate and uncertainty range for these emissions.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

We conducted a review of the available literature pertaining to carbon and greenhouse gas emissions from oil palm plantations on peatland in Southeast Asia (Page et al., 2011a). In the first stage of the assessment, we identified a number of publications reporting estimates of total losses of carbon from palm oil plantations on peatland. To assess the empirical basis of the values used to represent CO₂ emissions from drained peat, we traced CO₂ emissions estimates back to source publications and mapped connections between these and subsequent articles. We also identified a number of more recent publications providing empirical estimates of CO₂ loss. We aimed to assess the empirical foundations, accuracy and validity of these estimates by evaluating the approaches and methodologies employed in obtaining them.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A number of previous assessments of carbon and greenhouse gas emissions from oil palm plantations on peatland were identified. These differed in scope, some addressing only emissions relating to land use (Germer & Sauerborn, 2008; Murdiyarso et al., 2010), while others quantified life-cycle emissions from the entire palm oil biofuel production-transport-consumption chain (Fargione et al., 2008; Gibbs et al., 2008; Reijnders & Huijbregts, 2008; Wicke et al., 2008; Danielson et al., 2009; Edwards et al., 2010). In all cases, large and sustained emissions from drained peat were identified as the primary factor leading to large-scale CO₂ emissions and long-term biofuel carbon debts. The values used to represent peat CO₂ emissions ranged from 19 to 57 Mg CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Our study found that the majority of these CO₂ emissions estimates were drawn from a restricted pool of observational studies. In many cases, estimates were obtained by calculating the average of a combination of the results of disparate empirical studies with IPCC (2006) defaults for agriculture (73 Mg CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) or managed forests (5 Mg CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) on tropical organic soils (Fargione et al., 2008; Wicke et al., 2008; Edwards et al., 2010). The small number of observational studies encountered in this review included closed chamber measurements of CO₂ (and other GHGs) and, to a lesser extent, subsidence monitoring.

The majority of previous assessments included estimates of peat CO₂ loss in less than six studies of closed chamber measurements (some of which were not obtained in oil palm plantations). In most cases, these studies reported undifferentiated (e.g. total soil respiration) CO₂ loss that varied greatly from less than 30 to over 100 Mg CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. The few studies of differentiated emissions ranged from 40 to 94 Mg CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Couwenberg et al., 2010; Jauhiainen et al., 2012). Closed chamber measurements have not been conducted at an adequate spatial or temporal frequency to provide reliable annual flux estimates or uncertainty ranges (e.g. Murayama & Bakar, 1996; Melling et al., 2005). Daily, seasonal and annual variations in CO₂ emissions have not been addressed systematically and are biased towards certain parts of the diurnal cycle (e.g. Melling et al., 2005). The majority of chamber measurements have been conducted at only a few locations across Southeast Asia and do not account for local and regional variation in biophysical conditions (e.g. regional variation in rainfall). Furthermore, studies typically do not provide detailed descriptions of site conditions (e.g. peat thickness, land use history, fertilisation, drainage depth) or measurement locations (e.g. microtopography, distance from plantation trees, location on peat domes) precluding reliable assessments of the spatial representativeness of flux measurement sites.

Estimates of CO₂ loss based on subsidence monitoring have not been widely used in previous assessments of carbon loss from oil palm plantations. Given the limitations in the majority of closed chamber studies, subsidence measurements (reported as CO₂ equivalents) provide a more robust means of assessing carbon losses from drained peat. Subsidence based estimates are in the range 45 to 135 Mg CO_{2-e} ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for drainage depths of 0.5 m and 1.0 m (Couwenberg et al., 2010; Hooijer et al., 2010). At optimal plantation drainage depths of 0.6 to 0.8 m, losses are between 54 to 115 Mg CO_{2-e} ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Couwenberg et al., 2010; Hooijer et al., 2010). The range is based on assumptions of peat bulk density and carbon content, and the amount of subsidence attributed to peat oxidation. The lower estimates are based on oxidation rates of 40 to 60% (Couwenberg et al., 2010), but more comprehensive and recent assessments indicate oxidation rates in the longer term may account for around 90% of observed subsidence (Stephens et al. 1984; Hooijer et al., 2012). Similar to closed chamber measurements, the majority of subsidence studies have been based on a relatively small number of measurements made at a few locations. A recent exception to this is a study by Hooijer et al. (2012) which combined bulk density profiles with subsidence measurements made at over 200 locations across peat domes. This study estimates losses of 86 Mg CO_{2-e} ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ over a fifty year period, and is the only published estimate to explicitly account for higher rates of CO₂ loss during the early stages of drainage. The results of this study are further supported by extensive closed chamber measurements (>2300) obtained within the same plantation landscape (Jauhiainen et al., 2012).

CONCLUSION

Our review suggests that the values used to represent peat CO₂ in previous assessments lack a reliable empirical basis. We conclude that values of 86 Mg CO_{2-e} ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (based on a 50 year amortisation period) or 100 Mg CO_{2-e} ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (assuming a 25 year amortisation period) represent the most robust presently available empirical estimates of CO₂ loss from drained peat under oil palm cultivation (Hooijer et al. 2012). We suggest that future assessments should adopt an uncertainty range of 54 to 115 Mg CO_{2-e} ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for optimal plantation drainage depths of 0.6 to 0.8 m. We note that these values do not account for regional variations in key biophysical or local factors influencing CO₂ emissions. However, adoption of the best estimate and uncertainty range suggested here will reduce uncertainty in future

assessments. Our revised estimate of CO₂ loss from drained peat suggests that a dependency on a limited pool of flux measurements has led to systematic underestimation of CO₂ emissions from oil palm plantations in previous assessments. Our findings indicate that biofuel carbon debts are likely to be significantly larger than previously assumed when palm oil feedstocks are produced on tropical peatland.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by the International Council on Clean Transportation (ICCT), Washington DC, USA. We acknowledge contributions from Dr Stephanie Searle (ICCT) and Mrs Tina Godfrey (University of Leicester).

REFERENCES

- Al-Riffai, P., Dimaranan, B. and Laborde, D. (2010). Global trade and environmental impact of the EU biofuels mandate [online]. Final Report for EC–DG Trade. Online: http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2010/march/tradoc_145954.pdf.
- Carter, C., Finley, W., Fry, J., Jackson, D. and Willis, L. (2007). Palm oil markets and future supply. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, **109**, 307-314.
- Couwenberg, J., Dommain, R. & Joosten, H. (2010). Greenhouse gas fluxes from tropical peatlands in south-east Asia. *Global Change Biology*, **16**, 1715-1732.
- Danielsen, F., Beukema, H., Burgess, N. D., Parish, F., Bruhl, C. A., Donald, P. F. and Fitzherbert, E.B. (2009). Biofuel Plantations on Forested Lands: Double Jeopardy for Biodiversity and Climate. *Conservation Biology*, **23**(2), 348-358.
- Edwards, R., Mulligan, D. and Marelli, L. (2010). *Indirect Land Use Change from increased biofuels demand: Comparison of models and results for marginal biofuels production from different feedstocks*. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg. Online: http://ec.europa.eu/energy/renewables/consultations/doc/public_consultation_iluc/study_4_iluc_modelling_comparison.pdf.
- Fargione, J., Hill, J., Tilman D., Polasky, S. and Hawthorne, P. (2008). Land Clearing and the Biofuel Carbon Debt. *Science*, **319**, 1235-1238.
- Germer, J. and Sauerborn, J., (2008). Estimation of the impact of oil palm plantation establishment on greenhouse gas balance. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, **10**, 697-716.
- Gibbs, H. K., Johnston, M., Foley, J. A., Holloway, T., Monfreda, C., Ramankutty, N. and Zaks, D. (2008). Carbon payback times for crop-based biofuel expansion in the tropics: the effects of changing yield and technology. *Environment Research Letters*, **3**, 1-10.
- Hooijer, A., Page, S., Canadell, J. G., Silvius, M., Kwadijk, J., Wösten, H. and Jauhiainen, J. (2010). Current and future CO₂ emissions from drained peatlands in Southeast Asia. *Biogeosciences*, **7**, 1505-1514.
- Hooijer, A., Page, S. E., Jauhiainen, J., Lee, W. A., Lu, X. X., Idris, A. and Anshari, G. (2012). Subsidence and carbon loss in drained tropical peatlands. *Biogeosciences* (in press)

IPCC (2006). *IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories*. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Geneva, Switzerland.

Jauhiainen, J., Hooijer, A. and Page, S. E. (2012). Carbon Dioxide Fluxes in an Acacia Plantation on Tropical Peatland. *Biogeosciences*, 9: 1–14. doi:10.5194/bg-9-1-2012.

Laborde, D. (2011). *Assessing the Land Use Change Consequences of European Biofuel Policies*. International Food Policy Institute, Online:
http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2011/october/tradoc_148289.pdf

Marelli, L., Ramos, F., Hiederer, R. and Koebler, R. (2011). *Estimate of GHG emissions from global land use change scenarios*. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg.

Melling, L., Hatano, R. and Goh, K.J. (2005). Soil CO₂ flux from three ecosystems in tropical peatland of Sarawak, Malaysia. *Tellus B*, 57, 1-11.

Miettinen, J., Hooijer, A., Tollenaar, D., Page, S., Malins, C., Vernimmen, R., Shi, C. and Liew, S. C. (2012). *Historical Analysis and Projection of Oil Palm Plantation Expansion on Peatland in Southeast Asia*. (ICCT White Paper 17). International Council on Clean Transportation, Washington

Murayama, S. and Bakar, Z.A. (1996). Decomposition of Tropical Peat Soils. *Japan Agricultural Research Quarterly*, 30, 153-158.

Murdiyarso, D., Hergoualc'h, K. and Verchot, L.V. (2010). Opportunities for reducing greenhouse gas emissions in tropical peatlands, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 107, 19655-19660.

Page, S. E., Morrison, R., Malins, C., Hooijer, A., Rieley, J. O. and Jauhiainen, J. (2011a). *Review of peat surface greenhouse gas emissions from oil palm plantations in Southeast Asia* (ICCT White Paper 15). International Council on Clean Transportation, Washington.

Page, S. E., Rieley, J. O. and Banks, C. J. (2011b). Global and regional importance of the tropical peatlands carbon pool. *Global Change Biology*, 17, 798-818.

Reijnders, L. and Huijbregts, M. A. J. (2008). Palm oil and the emission of carbon-based greenhouse gases. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16, 477-482.

Stephens, J. C., Allen, L. H. and Chen, E. (1984). Organic soil subsidence. In T. L. Holzer (Ed.), *Man-induced land subsidence: Geological Society of America Reviews in Engineering Geology*, v. 6 (pp. 107-122). Boulder, CO: Geological Society of America.

Wicke, B., Dornburg, V., Junginger, M. and Faaij, A., (2008). Different palm oil production systems for energy purposes and their greenhouse gas implications. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 32, 1322-1337.