



Effect of hydrological restoration on degraded tropical peat carbon fluxes

Jyrki Jauhainen¹, Hanna Silvennoinen², Suwido Limin³, Harri Vasander⁴

¹ University of Helsinki, Department of Forest Ecology, P.O. Box 27, 00014 University of Helsinki, Finland
Phone: +358 9 19158142, Fax: +358 9 19158100, E-mail: Jyrki.Jauhainen@helsinki.fi

² University of Kuopio, Department of Environmental Sciences, P.O. Box 1627, 70211 Kuopio, Finland
Phone: +358 17 163 591, Fax: +358 17 163 750, E-mail: Hanna.Silvennoinen@uku.fi

³ University of Palangka Raya, CIMTROP, 73112 Palangka Raya, Indonesia
Phone: +62 536 36880, Fax: +62 536 36880, E-mail: suwido@palangkaraya.wasantara.net.id

⁴ University of Helsinki, Department of Forest Ecology, P.O. Box 27, 00014 University of Helsinki, Finland
Phone: +358 9 19158140, Fax: +358 9 19158100, E-mail: Harri.Vasander@helsinki.fi

Summary

Large areas of tropical peat have been drained, resulting in an abrupt change in the carbon sequestration and storing potential. Hydrological restoration by dam construction was made in drainage affected peat swamp forest and deforested burned peat area in Central Kalimantan (Indonesia) in order to slow down water outflow. Peat carbon dioxide fluxes were analyzed both for pre- and post-restoration peat water table level conditions. In forested areas the contribution from autotrophic CO₂ production can be high, but oxidation of deposited litter is faster in drainage affected forest than in undrained forest. Restoration of peat hydrology may not instantly affect the reduction of peat CO₂ flux rates in forests owing to difficulties in creating near peat surface water table level conditions, and because forest soil results in high autotrophic CO₂ production. In open degraded areas near soil surface maintained water table level can potentially reduce CO₂ loss from decomposition but carbon loss cannot be stopped until ecosystem C losses are exceeded by vegetation net carbon sequestration.

Key index words: carbon dioxide, land use, peat swamp forest, restoration, water table

Introduction

Tropical peat swamp forest forms one of the most efficient carbon (C) sequestering and storing ecosystems because it combines substantial biomass production capacity and dead biomass conservation in nutrient-poor, waterlogged peat. The estimated current peat carbon store in Indonesia is 26–50 Gt (Page *et al.* 2002). Large areas of tropical peat have been drained, resulting in an abrupt and permanent shift in the ecosystem carbon balance from sink to source (e.g. Page *et al.* 2002; Hirano *et al.* 2007). Decomposition of drained peatlands in Indonesia is estimated to cause 632 Mt yr⁻¹ CO₂ emissions (range 355–874 Mt yr⁻¹), which will likely increase every year for the first decades of the next century unless peatland use practices are changed (Hooijer *et al.* 2006). One of the largest degraded areas on tropical peatland (about 1 Mha) was created in 1996–1998 by the Mega Rice Project (MRP).

The main objectives of this study are to: (1) determine soil CO₂ dynamics and cumulative fluxes in relation to peat hydrology in drainage affected forest and deforested tropical peat, (2) determine major patterns in the annual peat WTL conditions after hydrological restoration of drainage affected peat, and (3) to evaluate the effect of hydrological restoration on CO₂ fluxes.

Methods

Study site

Two measurement sites were located near each other in the northeast corner of the ex-MRP area, about 20 km southeast of Palangka Raya City in Central Kalimantan Province of Indonesia. The area is split by two open canals, 25 m wide and 3.5–4.5 m deep. Measurement sites included drainage affected, selectively logged peat swamp forest (DF), and deforested, drained, burned peatland (DBP). The DF site had been cleared of its commercially most valuable timber prior to 1998. The forest floor microtopography included about 10–20 cm high hummocks with adjoining unvegetated depressions with about 50:50 surface ratio. Hummocks were largely formed from tree bases and other vegetation growing on them. The deforested site was treeless as a result of clear felling and consequent fires. The vegetation on the deforested site was dominated by ferns that had colonizing the higher surfaces. About 20–30 cm deep depressions, created by smoldering fires, had remained unvegetated possibly because of excessive wetness during rainy seasons. The mean peat thickness in the area was about 4 metres. The climate is characterized by 24–27°C temperatures, high humidity and high rainfall (overall average ~2800 mm) intensity.



Data collection and processing

Two gas flux monitoring transects oriented perpendicular to the canal direction were established about 1.1 km apart from each other in the selectively logged forest and deforested sites. Both transects consisted of five subplots at about 50 m intervals. Gas flux monitoring on the sites was carried out between April 2004 and December 2006 at intervals of about 2–3 weeks. In June–August 2005, seven dams were constructed in the study area canal system bordering an area of about 13 km². Water table level loggers (Keller, DCX-22) installed in one depression at the most far-off subplots from the canal recorded peat WTL on the sites. During gas flux measurements, WTL was measured with an audible buzzer apparatus from wells on each subplot.

Two methods were applied for the gas flux measurements. A closed chamber technique was applied for measuring CO₂ on depressions (method described in Jauhiainen *et al.* 2005). In this, four air samples were collected at 5-minute intervals from aluminum frames closed air-tightly by a 37 dm³ headspace during a 20-minute incubation period. Evacuated glass vials (12 ml) were used for sample storing by filling each vial with 20 ml of the sample air. For CO₂ analysis a gas chromatograph (Agilent 6890N) equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) was used. The other method applied for measuring CO₂ emissions from hummocks and high surfaces was a portable infrared gas analyzer (PP Systems, model EGM-4) connected to a 30 cm diameter soil respiration chamber that enclosed the peat surface during 81-second incubation periods.

Carbon dioxide fluxes were calculated from a linear change of gas concentration inside the closed chamber as a function of measurement time. Non-linear regression models were fitted to CO₂ data from each subplot, and WTL was applied as an explanatory factor. The 3–5 most representative subplots on both microtopographical surface types, based on *R*² values, were selected for further analysis. Gas fluxes at respective diurnal WTLs were summed together to produce cumulative gas fluxes for one year periods. Cumulative fluxes on hummocks and depressions were proportioned to microtopography surface ratio (50:50) on both sites. Mean gas fluxes were used for the DF site hummocks because goodness of fit for modeled gas fluxes with WTL in hummocks was low.

Results

Peat water table level

Deforested (DBP) site WTL annual mean, minimum (–21 vs. –33 cm), median (–14 vs. –26 cm), and mean 4-month dry season WTL (–40 vs. –52 cm) were higher after canal blocking. In the forested DB site, annual mean (–43 vs. –47 cm), minimum (–100 vs. –155 cm), and median (–35 vs. –39 cm) WTL were also higher after canal blocking. In general, the greatest difference in the peat WTL was found in the annual minimum WTL, which was 55 cm higher in the forest and 68 cm higher in the deforested site after restoration. Precipitation during the one-year period before restoration was 3215 mm in comparison to 2857 mm rainfall after restoration, and timing of dry and wet seasons was comparable during the two years.

Regression models

All gas flux data for each subplot were pooled together in the regression analyses since the GHG fluxes did not differ markedly (*P* > 0.05) at comparable soil water table level conditions before and after restoration. CO₂ flux maximum rates in the DF site depressions were clearly higher in comparison to the clear-felled site. The WTL resulting in the maximum CO₂ flux in the DF depressions was deeper and covered wider WTL range than in the DBP site.

Cumulative gas fluxes

Annual cumulative CO₂ fluxes differed between the DF and DBP sites at (*P* < 0.001) level between hummocks and high surfaces, and (*P* < 0.01) level in depressions. By using the 50:50 surface ratio in microtopography, cumulative fluxes between the sites differed (*P* < 0.001) during both years. There were no marked differences (*P* > 0.05) in the hummock/high surface or depression cumulative gas balances in either of the sites between year before and after restoration.

Discussion

CO₂ fluxes

Hummocks in the DF site are in an elevated position in forest floor microtopography which creates sufficiently moist conditions in surface peat and therefore makes them appropriate for root growth and seedling germination. Hummock surfaces resulted in high CO₂ emission rates even in nearly waterlogged conditions, which is largely (not quantified in this study) from autotrophic root respiration of trees. In bare forest floor depressions gas fluxes responded more clearly to peat WTL which is proposed to result from the smaller share of autotrophic respiration in comparison to emissions from organic matter decomposition. Comparable gas flux response in hummocks and depressions has been found in an undrained peat swamp forest, but with about 320 mg m^{–2} h^{–1} lower maximum CO₂ flux rate in comparison to DF site (Jauhiainen *et al.* 2005). Tree roots in the DF site need to extend deep in the peat and cover a spatially large area in order to secure water availability in dry conditions, which may increase proportionally litter deposition in oxic situation in deeper peat layer, and thus contribute to the resulted high CO₂ loss maximum that was resulted in deep WTL conditions and covered a wide WTL range in the model.

Lower CO₂ fluxes at the sparsely vegetated BDP site, in comparison to the forest, can be explained by low root respiration and low deposition of fresh litter into peat. After clear felling a large amount of fresh debris remains in and on the surface peat, but if the subsequent litter deposition is low, decomposition rate slows down over time (Wösten *et al.* 1997). CO₂ flux maximum in fern dominated high surfaces at the site was about 100 mg m^{–2} h^{–1} lower compared to depressions, which indicates low root respiration contribution to the fluxes and relatively low microbial activity in peat near the surface. High exposure to sun may promote over-heating and over drying in high surfaces, which probably reduces microbial activity in the uppermost peat profile. In the DBP site CO₂ emission was highest when the depth of the oxic peat profile was relatively wide (WTL deep), and this likely reflects conditions in



which oxic peat horizon depth and peat moisture conditions are optimal for aerobic decomposition.

Drainage affected forest cumulative CO₂ effluxes were among the highest measured in tropical peat (~2000 g CO₂-C m⁻²). Forest floor CO₂ emissions of a comparable scale have been detected in Sarawak (2130 g C m⁻²yr⁻¹) by Melling *et al.* (2005). Undrained peat swamp forest floor CO₂ emissions have varied between 953–1200 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Inubushi *et al.* 2003; Jauhiainen *et al.* 2005). The DBP site annual CO₂ efflux (711–758 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹) are somewhat higher than annual CO₂-C emissions from agricultural uncultivated peat (526 g C m⁻²) in the same area (Jauhiainen *et al.* 2004), but it is lower than in more voluminous vegetation-covered oil palm (1540 g m⁻²) and sago plantation (1110 g m⁻²) soil emissions (Melling *et al.* 2005).

Peat water table level and gas fluxes

The peat swamp forest main water storage is in permanently waterlogged subsurface peat below the usual water fluctuation zone. This peat is relatively well decomposed and has lower hydraulic conductivity compared to the more permeable surface peat (Takahashi *et al.* 2002). Water runoff through the top horizon was more efficient in the DF forest site which still had a 15–30 cm deep highly porous, fibric horizon on top. High WTL conditions prevailed longer in the DBP site because the peat is characterized by a collapsed peat macro-pore structure, and it is well decomposed and compacted up to the peat surface. Therefore dams can act as barriers restricting water outflow during the dry season especially in the forest area, and thus decrease depth and duration of the lowest water level conditions.

Restoration of peat hydrology may not instantly effect the reduction of peat CO₂ flux rates in forests owing to difficulties in creating near peat surface WTL conditions in porous peat, and because forest soil results in high autotrophic CO₂ production. The main positive outcome from nearer peat surface stabilized hydrological conditions is likely created by enhanced C-storing potential through avoided drought stress and maintained sufficient net photosynthesis in the vegetation (Hirano *et al.* 2007). This would increase litter deposition and duration of recently deposited litter in a decomposition slowing, waterlogged environment also near the peat surface, while the deeper carbon stores would not be exposed to oxic conditions. In clear felled sites higher WTL did not show any immediate response in the cumulative CO₂ flux. However, peat C losses can be expected to decrease with long term stabilized near peat surface hydrology conditions. Instant benefit from higher WTL is greater water availability for vegetation that promotes forest regeneration potential.

Conclusions

Uncontrolled drainage causes enhanced dead biomass oxidization in peat owing to increased thickness of the aerobic peat profile, which leads to peat subsidence. Long term waterlogging is difficult to achieve in peat that has porous surface peat. In drainage affected forested areas, the contribution from autotrophic CO₂ production can be high, but oxidation of deposited litter is faster than in

undrained forest. The main positive outcome from higher WTL conditions in forest is likely to be improved ecosystem level C-storing potential through avoided drought stress and thus maintained net carbon sequestration resulting in sufficient litter deposition in frequently and long-term water logged conditions. In open degraded areas, such as the DBP site, near soil surface maintained WTL can potentially reduce CO₂ loss from decomposition but carbon loss cannot be stopped until ecosystem C losses are exceeded by vegetation net carbon sequestration. Forest regeneration is a long process, but investments into hydrological restoration are important both for reducing the fire hazard and in creating conditions for forest vegetation re-establishment.

Acknowledgements

This work is part of the Academy of Finland funded project 'KEYTROP', and EU INCO-DC programme, 'RESTORPEAT'. During the measurements, this project has been partly carried out with financial support from the Jenny and Antti Wihuri Foundation. To colleagues working hard in the field collecting data and constructing dams, especially Mr. Kitso Kusin and Mr. Yarden Tundan from CIMTROP, we owe special thanks.

References

- Hirano, T., Segah, H., Harada, T., Limin, S., June, T., Hirata, R. and Osaki M. (2007). Carbon dioxide balance of a tropical peat swamp forest in Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Global Change Biology* **13**(2), 412–425.
- Hooijer, A., Silvius, M., Wösten, H. and Page, S. (2006). *PEAT-CO₂, Assessment of CO₂ emissions from drained peatlands in SE Asia*. Delft Hydraulics report Q3943. 41 pp. Netherlands.
- Inubushi, K., Furukawa, Y., Hadi, A., Purnomo, E. and Tsuruta, H. (2003). Seasonal changes of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes in relation to land-use change in tropical peatlands located in coastal area of South Kalimantan. *Chemosphere* **52**(3), 603–608.
- Jauhiainen, J., Vasander, H., Jaya, A., Takashi, I., Heikkinen, J. and Martikainen, P. (2004). Carbon balance in managed tropical peat in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. In J. Päivänen (ed), *Wise Use of Peatlands - Proceedings of the 12th International Peat Congress, 6–11.6.2004 Tampere*, pp. 653–659. International Peat Society, Jyväskylä, Finland.
- Jauhiainen, J., Takahashi, H., Heikkinen, J.E.P., Martikainen, P.J. and Vasander, H. (2005). Carbon fluxes from a tropical peat swamp forest floor. *Global Change Biology* **11**(10), 1788–1797.
- Melling, L., Hatano, R. and Goh, K.J. (2005). Soil CO₂ flux from three ecosystems in tropical peatland of Sarawak, Malaysia. *Tellus* **57**(1), 1–11.
- Page, S.E., Siegert, F., Rieley, J.O., Boehm, H.-D.V., Jaya, A. and Limin, S. (2002). The amount of carbon released from peat and forest fires in Indonesia during 1997. *Nature* **420**, 61–65.
- Takahashi, H., Shimada, S., Ibie, B.I., Usup, A., Yudha, and Limin, S.H. (2002). Annual changes of water balance and a drought index in a tropical peat swamp forest of Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. In Rieley, J.O., Page, S.E. with Setiadi, B. (eds.), *Peatlands for People: Natural resource functions and sustainable management, Proceedings of the international symposium on tropical peatland, 22–23 August 2001, Jakarta, Indonesia*, pp 63–67. BPPT and Indonesian Peat Association.
- Wösten, J.H.M., Ismail, A.B. and van Wijk, A.L.M. (1997). Peat subsidence and its practical implications: a case study in Malaysia. **78**, 25–36.